

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|
| 9/460,007 | 12/13/99 | HARPER, JR. | | D | BERG-2462/C |
| _ | | | | | EXAMINER |
| MM91/0511 JONATHAN M WALDMAN ESQ WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR | | | | NGUYEN, | Т |
| | | | | ART UNIT | T PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2833 | |
| HILADELPHIA | | | | DATE MAILED: | . 05/11/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

| \sim |
|---------|
| - Maria |
| 1 |
| A. 100 |
| |



Application No. 09/460,007 Applicant(s)

Harper, Jr.

| Office Action | Summary | Exar |
|---------------|---------|------|
|---------------|---------|------|

Art Unit



| | Truc Nguyen | 2833 | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sh et with the corre | spondence address | | |
| | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | · · | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace to be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. | will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH | 30) days will IS from the mailing date of this IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ng date of this communication, even if tim | ely filed, may reduce any | | |
| Status | 2001 | | | |
| 1) 🗓 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 23. 2</u> | | | | |
| | ion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Exp | xcept for formal matters, prosecu arte Quayl 8 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. | tion as to the merits is 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | ic/are pending in the applica | | |
| 4) X Claim(s) <u>1, 4-8, 10-16, and 19-21</u> | | istate perioding in the applies | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | is/are withdrawn from considers | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 61 V Claim(s) 1 4-8 10-16, and 19-21 | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | | Is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claims | are subject | to restriction and/or election requirem | | |
| | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is | Jare objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on | is all approve | d b) disapproved. | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is. ay_ approve | , | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign print a) Some* c) None of: | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c | i). | | |
| 1 Certified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received. | | | |
| 2. Cortified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No | o· | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Burer* *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the copies of the priority days. *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the copies of the certified copies of the priority days. | ocuments have been received in t au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | this National Stage | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) |). | | |
| 14) Montowiedgement is made of a citimeter | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pag | ner No(s). | | |
| 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Interview Summary (P10-413) Page 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Notice 11, 12 2 3 4, | | | | |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 20) 🔲 Other. | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007

Art Unit: : 2833



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-8, 10-11, 16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentalble over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Fishley et al (US 5,786,631).

Regarding claims 1, 4-8, 10-11, 16, 19-21, Applicant's APA substantially disclosed the claimed invention in Figure 1, except the a notch or a slot located at position generally furthest from a neutral point of the connector and extending through a distal end of the peripheral wall of the housing from an inner face to an outer face of the peripheral wall.

Fishley et al disclose in Figure 2a-b, an electrical connector housing (24) comprising a notch (32) located only at location furthest from neutral point of the housing, the notch extending through a distal end of the peripheral wall from an inner face to an outer face.

Although Fishley et al do not specifically disclose the slot (32) is for the purpose of preventing warpage problem of the housing caused by thermal cycling. The structure, disclosed by Fishley et al, would inherently obtain the advantage of the slot being presents in the housing.

Thus, it has a capable of preventing warpage of the housing.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007

Art Unit: : 2833

It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the connection housing of the Applicant's APA with a slot only at furthest location from a neutral point of the housing. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation. *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 *USPQ2d* 1647 (1987).

3. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentalble over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Fishley et al (US 5,786,631) and further in view of McHugh et al (US 6,033,236).

Claims 12-15 are rejected for the same reason as above. Applicant's APA in view of Fishley et al substantially disclosed the claimed invention, except a process of determining a location of the housing which may build up stress.

McHugh et al disclose a portion of the housing (12) is remove at location where the passageway (18) are located. The portion that was removed defined an opened slot (38) that will prevent the warpage problem of the housing (Figures 5B and column 3, lines 13-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove a portion of the housing where the stress is high as taught by McHugh.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007 Page 4

Art Unit: : 2833

Conclusion

This is a non-final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Austin Bradley, can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

T. Nguyen - May 8, 2001.

Paula Bradley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800